Science/Social Studies Response Rubric

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CATEGORY | http://franchise-economist.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/03/6-Check-Mark-and-Plus-Sign1.jpg**Meets/Exceeds Expectations (SBG Levels 3 or 4)** | **http://foodrefashionista.files.wordpress.com/2012/05/check_mark_clip_art_hight.png****Almost Meets Expectations (SBG Level 2.5 or 3)** | http://mmii.info/icons/Bebop/other_checkMinus.gif**Needs Improvement (SBG Levels 2 or 1)** |
| **Answering the Question** | * Answers the question completely.

 * Answers the question using help from their lab binder/notebook.
* Doesn’t retell the details of the response question/prompt.

  | - Answers part of the question. - May or may not use their lab notebook for help.- Is missing a response to part of the question.- Retells the details of the response question/prompt. | - Doesn’t really answer the question. - Is missing a response to the question.- Retells the details of the response question/prompt. |
| **Vocabulary Use** | - Uses vocabulary when necessary and explains how the vocabulary relates to the question. | - Uses vocabulary but doesn’t explain how the vocabulary relates to the question or to the answer given. - Adds vocabulary that isn’t necessary to the answer. | - Uses vocabulary incorrectly. - Doesn’t use vocabulary at all in the response when they should use vocabulary. |
| **Details -Claims/Evidence** | - Details given support the claim (answer) that is made.- Details back up the explanation of the claim (answer).- Does not retell the details of the prompt/question that are already stated as evidence. | - Details given somewhat support the claim (answer) that is made.- Details somewhat back up the claim (answer) but are a little confusing.- Retells some of the details of the prompt/question that are already stated as evidence. | - Little/no details given to support the claim (answer) that is made. - Details do not back up the claim (answer). - Details are very confusing.- Retells the details of the prompt/question that are already stated as evidence. |
| **Details- Being Specific** | - Details are specific.- The response is easy to understand because there are no “it’s”, “they”, “those”, or “things” words to identify the subject. | - Details are somewhat specific.- The response is somewhat difficult to understand because there are non specific words used to identify the subject (such as “it” “they” “those” or “things”). | - Details are not specific.- Too many non specific words are used to identify the subject (such as “it” “they” “those” or “things”). |
| **Mechanics** | - Good punctuation, grammar, and sentence structure make the product easy to understand. - Has a good length to support the claim and evidence (no less than 3 detailed sentences). | - Uses okay punctuation, grammar, and sentence structure make the product somewhat easy to understand. - Is too short. Could use a few more thoughts to make a complete claim (answer) and back up the claim (evidence) | - Punctuation, grammar, and sentence structure are difficult to read. - The product makes little/no sense and is difficult to understand. - Is way too short to make a complete claim (answer) and back up the claim (evidence) |

Tip: To help make sure that your response gets the highest quality marks, remember:

- Use your science binder/notebook lab sheets for help with your response.

- Check over your work when you are finished.

- Read your response aloud to yourself or have a parent read it for quality.